

Amanda Smith | Advisor: Dr. Ali Memari Structural Option

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Table of Contents |
|---------------------------------------|
| Executive Summary |
| Introduction: Fairfield Inn & Suites4 |
| Structural System |
| Foundation5 |
| Floor System6 |
| Columns7 |
| Lateral System7 |
| Code & Design Requirements8 |
| Materials9 |
| Gravity Loads10 |
| Lateral Loads11 |
| Wind Analysis11 |
| Seismic Analysis15 |
| Spot Checks17 |
| Conclusion19 |
| Appendix A: Building Layout20 |
| Appendix B: Snow Analysis23 |
| Appendix C: Wind Analysis25 |
| Appendix D: Seismic Analysis |
| Appendix E: Member Spot Checks |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In this technical report, the existing structural conditions of the Fairfield Inn and Suites are discussed to gain a better understanding of the structural design of the building. An analysis of the structural system, gravity loads, and lateral loads can be found through detailed descriptions and diagrams, as well as, the materials and codes used in the actual design of the building. Building layout and detailed calculations for each analysis performed can be found in an Appendix at the end of the report.

The Fairfield Inn and Suites is a 10-story hotel located in the city of Pittsburgh. Tourists and visitors to Pittsburgh will occupy the 135 guest rooms the hotel has to offer, along with enjoying the pool and fitness center.

The hotel is approximately 78,803 square feet and reaches a height of 112'-8" above grade with a basement below grade. The typical floor to floor height is 9'-4" and the lobby extends to a height of 18'-0". The typical floor system is precast plank floors while the ground floor is a reinforced concrete slab on grade. The foundation consists of auger cast piles with grade beams transferring wall loads down to the foundation. The transfer beams on the second level, carry the building loads into columns that extend down into the pile caps. The lateral resisting system is composed of reinforced concrete masonry shear walls.

In order to get a better understanding of the lateral system of the structure, wind and seismic loads were analyzed using ASCE 7-05. To examine wind loads on the building, the Analytical Procedure was used to find the wind pressures in both the North/South direction and East/West direction. The wind in the North/South direction was found to control over the wind in the East/West direction. Due to the North/South façade of the building being longer, it is required to resist greater wind pressures and verifies the wind calculations make sense. Seismic loads were examined using the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure. The seismic loads resulted in giving the building a larger base shear than the wind loads, showing that the seismic loads will control when determining lateral force on the structure.

Spot checks of gravity loads were performed on various structural members of the building. Spot checks were conducted on an interior column, transfer beam, a girder to validate the member sizes chosen. All members were found to be adequately designed, but overdesigned. This is due to the fact that only gravity loads were analyzed. Since the column, beam, and girder are also a part of the lateral system, the loads due to lateral forces were also considered in the actual design of the building. Taking into account the lateral forces will be further analyzed in later reports, which will account for the overdesigned members.

INTRODUCTION: Fairfield Inn & Suites

Fairfield Inn and Suites is a 10-story hotel. The hotel is located in the heart of Pittsburgh within walking distance to downtown Pittsburgh, Heinz Field (football stadium), the new Rivers casino, plus many other Pittsburgh attractions. The hotel's closest attraction, directly across the street, is the Pittsburgh Pirates baseball stadium, PNC Park. Being in such a prime location, this hotel with accommodate thousands of guests visiting the area throughout the year making it an essential addition to the community.

The hotel occupies 135 guest rooms in addition to an indoor pool and fitness center for its guests. There will be a variety of typical king/queen size rooms to king/queen suites to satisfy the needs of all guests. Guests to the hotel will enter into an 18' lobby off of Federal St. where the main entrance exists. The lobby consists of a large reception desk for check-in/out, a breakfast area, and a large seating area featuring a cherry finished wood fireplace. The hotel holds a basement below grade that consists of the electrical, mechanical, and maintenance rooms, along with the laundry room and break room for employees.

The façade of the building is similar for all views. Cast-stone decorates the exterior levels one thru four. Brick veneer than extends to the roof of the building. As one approaches the 18' lobby entrance a glass curtain wall system surrounds the entrance doors and extends above the entrance two stories adding verticality to the building. The entrance is then emphasized by a large steel supported, tempered glass awning shading the lobby. On street level, the lobby is lined by additional high glass windows also shaded with smaller glass awnings. From the highway that passes the buildings north façade, one will notice the hotel by its large illuminated sign placed inside a 56'x18' bond-face brick detailed rectangle accenting this view.

The structural system for the hotel is primarily precast plank floors with load bearing masonry shear walls that resist the lateral forces against building. Steel transfer beams at the second floor transfer the loads of the interior shear walls to columns supporting the 18' lobby. The ground floor is a concrete slab on grade that transfers the gravity loads of the building to a foundation system that is composed of auger cast piles and steel grade beams.

The purpose of Technical Report 1 is to take a closer look at the concepts and existing conditions of the structural system of the Fairfield Inn and Suites. An overview is given in regards to the framing, structural slabs, lateral force resisting systems, and foundations to better explain how each of the components work together and form a structural system that resists gravity and lateral loads.

STRUCTURAL SYSTEM

Foundation

A geotechnical soils report was conducted for the Fairfield Inn and Suites site on November 27, 2007 by Construction Engineering Consultants. In the study, it was found that the typical soil found on site is brown silt, clay, and sand. The reported water level was approximately 25'-0" on site. The depth of the basement is 12'-8" below grade, therefore there should not be a concern regarding the uplift pressures on the foundation due to the water level. Due to the moderate depth to bedrock and precaution taken in regards to water level, the deep foundation system consists of auger cast friction piles and grade beams. With the foundation not extending below 33 ft., the net allowable bearing pressure on site is 200 psf.

The ground floor rest on a 6" concrete slab which is 5 ksi normal weight concrete (NWC). The slab increases in thickness from 6" to 12" within the core shear walls where the elevator pit and area well are located. The slab reinforcement consists of W/ 6x6-W1.2xW1.2 welded wire fabric and #5 bars located 12" o.c. top and bottom and each way. The slab depth is approximately 12'-8" below grade, while the elevator pit extends to 17'-5" below grade.

The piles extend 12'-8" deep below grade and are spaced approximately between 26' to 31' apart (refer to Appendix A). The typical size of the pile caps

is a 7'-6" square approximately 4' deep with four 16" diameter piles per cap. The core shear walls incasing the stairs and elevator have additional rectangular pile caps and piles for more support. Pile caps are reinforced with #8 bars at 6" o.c. The typical column piers extending from the pile caps are composite 24"x24" columns with horizontal ties and vertical bar reinforcement. (see Figure 1.1)

> Grade beams run between pile caps transferring the loads from the facade and interior shear





walls to the foundation (refer to Figure 1.2). Depth of beams ranges between 36" and 48" depending on location. Reinforcement and size varies per grade beam.



Figure 1.2

Floor System

Fairfield Inn and Suites typical floor system is a precast concrete plank floor with a thickness of 8" untopped. The hollow core concrete plank floor allows for the building to be supported without the use of columns on floors two thru ten and longer plank spans. Concrete compressive strength for floors is f'c=5000 psi. The typical span of the precast plank floors are 31'-0" and 26'-0". The floor systems support concrete masonry bearing walls.

The floor system for the first floor is a combination between 4" slab on grade and the 8" precast concrete plank floor. There is no basement below the first floor running along the

south wall and the entrance on the west wall of the building (see Figure 2.1). Due to a pool being located in this area, the hollow core of the typical plank floor would not be sufficient in supporting the weight of the pool and lobby live loads. Therefore, the floor system is a 4" slab on grade with W/6x6-W1.4xW1.4 weld wire fabric reinforcement.

Since the floor system is a precast plank floor, there are a limited number of steel beams girders throughout the structure. These transfer beams range in size from W 33x118 to W 40X149. With no columns to support floors two thru ten, the majority of the beams present are transfer beams on the second floor that transfer loads from the floors above to the columns extending from the pile caps





Figure 2.2: Second Floor Transfer Beams

and thus transferring all loads to the foundation system. The transfer beams run along the back of the elevator shafts from the west wall to the east wall, and along the back of south wall of stair B extending from the west wall to the east wall (see Figure 2.2). Transfer beams range in size from W 33x118 to W 40x149. Girders run along the first floor supporting mechanical equipment loads and tying into the beams and shear walls supporting the first floor. Girders and beams throughout the building are non-composite systems.

> The roof system and smaller high roof system are the same use the same 8" untopped precast

concrete plank floor. W8x28 beams run along the shear walls inclosing the elevator and stair shaft while W8x18's extend outward from the corners of the shear walls inclosing the shaft. Hoist beams support the top of the elevator shaft in high roof system. There are a total of six drains located on the roof for the drainage system. (refer to Appendix A)

Columns

The only columns used in the Fairfield Inn and Suites are the ones extending from the pile caps to the second floor supporting the 18' first floor. The columns range in size from W10x100's to W 12x120's depending on location. All columns connect into the pile caps where the weight each column supports transfers the load down to the foundation (refer to Figure 2.1). The base plates are 16" thick and tunically 14"x14". Fach

to Figure 3.1). The base plates are ½" thick and typically 14"x14". Each plate utilizes a standard 4 bolt connection using 1" A325 bolts.



Lateral System

The lateral system for the Fairfield Inn and Suites is a combination of ordinary reinforced concrete masonry shear walls. The exterior shear walls are 10" concrete masonry and the core shear walls are 8" concrete masonry. The core shear walls surround the staircases and elevator shaft. On floors two thru ten, two additional shear walls extending from the west

wall to the east wall run along the south wall of staircase B and the north wall of the elevator shafts (see Figure 4.1). Shear walls supporting the ground floor to the fourth floor support a compressive strength of f'c=8000 psi. All other shear walls support a compressive strength of f'c=5000 psi. The typical reinforcement in both the 10" and 8" shear walls is #5 bars at 16" o.c., 24" o.c., or 32" o.c. with bars centered in wall and solid grout wall.

The wind and seismic loads, as well as gravity loads, reach the foundation by first traveling through the rigid building diaphragm (floor system) to the shear walls. From there the loads carry through the transfer beams and



Figure 4.1: Lateral Shear Wall System

girders which connect to the columns at second floor. All loads travel in the columns to the basement level and into the auger cast piles and grade beam foundation. This load path is governed by the concept of relative stiffness.

CODES AND REQUIREMENTS

Various references were used by the engineer of record in order to carry out the structural design of the Fairfield Inn and Suites:

- The 2006 International Building Codes as amended by the city of Pittsburgh
- The Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-05), American Concrete Institute
- Specifications for Structural Concrete (ACI 301-05), American Concrete Institute
- The Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI 530), American Concrete Institute
- Specifications for Masonry Structures (ACI 530.1), American Concrete Institute
- PCI Design Handbook Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute
- Specifications for Structural Steel Buildings Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design (AISC), American Institute of Steel Construction
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-05), American Society of Civil Engineers

MATERIALS

Multiple materials are used in the construction of the Fairfield Inn and Suites. The details of these materials are listed as follows:

Concrete

| Foundation | ť c = 3000 psi |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Slab on Grade | ť c = 4000 psi |
| Exterior Slab on Grade | ť c = 4500 psi |
| Walls | ť c = 5000 psi |
| Grade Beams | f'c = 4000 psi |
| Columns | f'c = 8000 psi |
| Reinforcement Steel | |
| Deformed Bars | ASTM A615, Grade 60 |
| Welded Wire Fabric | ASTM A185 |
| Structural Steel | |
| Wide flange | ASTM A992 |
| Channels | ASTM A 572, Grade 50 |
| Angles and Plates | ASTM A36 |
| Steel Tubes/Steel Pipes (HSS) | ASTM A500, Grade B |
| Connection Bolts | ASTM A325 |
| Anchor Bolts | ASTM A36 |

GRAVITY LOADS

The gravity load conditions determined by ASCE 7-05 are provided for reference:

Dead Loads:

| Concrete | 150 pcf |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Steel | 490 pcf |
| Partitions | 15 psf |
| MEP | 10 psf |
| Finishes and Miscellaneous | 5 psf |
| Roof | 20 psf |

Live Loads:

| Description | Design Load Used By Engineer | ASCE 7-05 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Public Areas | 100 psf | 100 psf |
| Lobbies | 100 psf | 100 psf |
| First Floor Corridors | 100 psf | 100 psf |
| Corridors above First Floor | 80 psf | 80 psf |
| Private Hotel Rooms | 40 psf | 40 psf |
| Stairs | 100 psf | 100 psf |
| Roof | 75 psf | 20 psf |
| Mechanical | 150 psf | 150 psf |

LATERAL LOADS

Wind Analysis

Wind loads were calculated in accordance with ASCE 7-05, Chapter 6. To examine the wind loads in the North/South direction and the West/East direction, the Analytical Procedure – Method two described in Section 6.5, was used to find design pressures. The variables used in this analysis are located in Table 1a. Please refer to Appendix C for equations and base calculations used for the execution of this procedure. Figure 5.1 shows the wind direction made to the typical floor plan. A more detailed and accurate analysis of lateral loads will be studied in a future technical report.



N/S Wind Direction

| Wind Variables | ASCE | | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| | | References | |
| Basic Wind Speed | V | 90 | Fig. 6-1 |
| Directionality Factor | K _d | 0.85 | Table 6-4 |
| Importance Factor | Ι | 1.15 | Table 6-1 |
| Exposure Category | | С | § 6.5.6.3 |
| Topographic Factor | K _{zt} | 1.00 | § 6.5.7.1 |
| Velocity Pressure Exposure Coefficient evaluated at Height Z | Kz | Varies | Table 6-3 |
| Velocity Pressure at Height z | qz | Varies | Eq. 6-15 |
| Velocity Pressure at Mean Roof Height | q _h | 20.47 | Eq. 6-15 |
| Equivalent Height of Structure | > | 64.6' | Table 6-2 |
| Intensity of Turbulence | lż | 0.268 | Eq. 6-5 |
| Integral Length Scale of Turbulence | Lż | 208.81 | Eq. 6-7 |
| Background Response Factor (East/West) | Q | 0.792 | Eq. 6-6 |
| Background Response Factor (North/South) | Q | 0.788 | Eq. 6-6 |
| Gust Effect Factor (East/West) | G | 0.808 | Eq. 6-4 |
| Gust Effect Factor (North/South) | G | 0.806 | Eq. 6-4 |
| External Pressure Coefficient (Windward) | Cp | 0.8 | Fig. 6-6 |
| External Pressure Coefficient (E/W Leeward) | Cp | -0.03 | Fig. 6-6 |
| External Pressure Coefficient (N/S Leeward) | Cp | -0.05 | Fig. 6-6 |

Table 1a

Table 1b was developed to determine the wind pressures in the North/South direction. This direction is adjacent to an existing building and a major highway, which neither structure is significant enough to block the building from receiving full wind loads. These wind loads are currently the most prevalent at this site. Wind loads can clearly be seen in the diagram of windward and leeward pressures at each level (Figure 5.2). A basic loading diagram also provided for reference as seen in Figure 5.5.

| | | | | | Wind Loa | ads (Nor | th/Sout | h Directio | on) | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| | | | The sea | | В | = 91'-0" | L = 8 | 3'-0" | | | | | |
| Level | Height above ground - z | Story Height (ft.) | Kz | q _z Wind Pressure | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Force of Windward Pressure | Force of Total Pressure | Windward Shear Story | Total Story | Windward Moment (ft- | Total Moment | |
| | (ft.) | (, | | | Windward | Leeward | (1951) | Only (k) | (k) | (K) | Shear (k) | K) | (11-K) |
| PH Roof | 112.66 | 10.00 | 1.02 | 20.67 | 17.02 | -11.93 | 28.95 | 3.73 | 6.35 | 3.73 | 6.35 | 401.92 | 683.81 |
| Roof | 102.66 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 20.27 | 16.75 | -11.93 | 28.69 | 15.25 | 26.11 | 18.98 | 32.46 | 1488.97 | 2549.55 |
| 10 | 92.66 | 9.33 | 0.97 | 19.66 | 16.36 | -11.93 | 28.30 | 13.89 | 24.02 | 32.87 | 56.48 | 1222.43 | 2114.02 |
| 9 | 83.33 | 9.33 | 0.94 | 19.05 | 15.97 | -11.93 | 27.90 | 13.56 | 23.69 | 46.43 | 80.17 | 1066.63 | 1863.69 |
| 8 | 74.00 | 9.34 | 0.90 | 18.24 | 15.45 | -11.93 | 27.38 | 13.13 | 23.27 | 59.56 | 103.45 | 910.26 | 1613.48 |
| 7 | 64.66 | 9.33 | 0.87 | 17.63 | 15.06 | -11.93 | 26.99 | 12.78 | 22.91 | 72.34 | 126.36 | 766.88 | 1374.77 |
| 6 | 55.33 | 9.33 | 0.83 | 16.82 | 14.53 | -11.93 | 26.47 | 12.34 | 22.47 | 84.68 | 148.83 | 625.13 | 1138.49 |
| 5 | 46.00 | 9.34 | 0.79 | 16.01 | 14.01 | -11.93 | 25.94 | 11.91 | 22.05 | 96.59 | 170.88 | 492.13 | 911.35 |
| 4 | 36.66 | 9.33 | 0.74 | 15.00 | 13.36 | -11.93 | 25.29 | 11.34 | 21.47 | 107.93 | 192.35 | 362.82 | 687.00 |
| 3 | 27.33 | 9.33 | 0.68 | 13.78 | 12.57 | -11.93 | 24.51 | 10.67 | 20.81 | 118.60 | 213.16 | 241.93 | 471.58 |
| 2 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 0.60 | 12.16 | 11.53 | -11.93 | 23.46 | 18.88 | 38.43 | 137.48 | 251.59 | 169.92 | 345.85 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137.48 | 251.59 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 19-16-16 | | | | | | Contraction. | | | |
| | | | | | | | Σ١ | Vindward Sto | ory Shear = | 137.48 | kips | | |
| | | | 12 | | R. Mail Constant | | | Σ Total Sto | ory Shear = | 251.59 | kips | | |
| | | | | | | | | Σ Windward | Moment = | 7749.01 | ft-k | | |
| | | | alt de | | | | | Σ Total | Moment = | 13753.59 | ft-k | | |

Table 1b



Figure 5.2: Wind Pressures in the North/South Direction

Table 1c was developed to determine the wind pressures in the East/West direction. There are currently adjacent buildings blocking the wind on the lower levels on the hotel, but wind in this direction must be examined in the case that these buildings will not be present in the future and the full wind load will be applied to the building. Wind loads in this direction can clearly be seen in the diagram of windward and leeward pressures at each level (Figure 5.3). A basic loading diagram also provided for reference as seen in Figure 5.4.

| | | | | | Wind Lo | ads (Ea | st/West | Direction | า) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--------------------------|------|-------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | В | = 83'-0" | L = 91 | 1'-0" | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Level | Height above ground - z | Story Height (ft.) | Kz | qz | Wind Pres | Wind Pressure (psf) | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | Wind Pressure (psf) | | ind Pressure (psf) | | Force of Windward Pressure | Force of Force of Windward Total Pressure Pressure | Windward Shear Story | Total Story | Windward Moment (ft- | Total Moment |
| | (ft.) | (, | | | Windward | Leeward | (001) | Only (k) | (k) | (14) | onear (it) | , | (| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PH Roof | 112.66 | 10.00 | 1.02 | 20.67 | 17.05 | -8.65 | 25.70 | 4.54 | 6.84 | 4.54 | 6.84 | 488.88 | 736.82 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roof | 102.66 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 20.27 | 16.79 | -8.65 | 25.43 | 13.93 | 21.11 | 18.47 | 27.95 | 1360.70 | 2061.57 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 92.66 | 9.33 | 0.97 | 19.66 | 16.39 | -8.65 | 25.04 | 12.70 | 19.39 | 31.17 | 47.34 | 1117.11 | 1706.30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 83.33 | 9.33 | 0.94 | 19.05 | 16.00 | -8.65 | 24.65 | 12.39 | 19.09 | 43.56 | 66.43 | 974.72 | 1501.44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 74.00 | 9.34 | 0.90 | 18.24 | 15.48 | -8.65 | 24.12 | 12.00 | 18.70 | 55.56 | 85.13 | 831.80 | 1296.52 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 64.66 | 9.33 | 0.87 | 17.63 | 15.08 | -8.65 | 23.73 | 11.68 | 18.38 | 67.24 | 103.51 | 700.77 | 1102.49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 55.33 | 9.33 | 0.83 | 16.82 | 14.56 | -8.65 | 23.21 | 11.27 | 17.97 | 78.51 | 121.48 | 571.23 | 910.47 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 46.00 | 9.34 | 0.79 | 16.01 | 14.04 | -8.65 | 22.68 | 10.88 | 17.58 | 89.39 | 139.06 | 449.69 | 726.72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 36.66 | 9.33 | 0.74 | 15.00 | 13.38 | -8.65 | 22.03 | 10.36 | 17.06 | 99.75 | 156.12 | 331.52 | 545.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 27.33 | 9.33 | 0.68 | 13.78 | 12.59 | -8.65 | 21.24 | 9.75 | 16.45 | 109.51 | 172.57 | 221.05 | 372.81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 0.60 | 12.16 | 11.55 | -8.65 | 20.19 | 17.25 | 30.17 | 126.76 | 202.74 | 155.25 | 271.51 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126.76 | 202.74 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ΣV | Vindward Sto | ory Shear = | 126.76 | kips | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | i se | | | | | Charles III | and the second | Σ Total Sto | ry Shear = | 202.74 | kips | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Σ Windward | Moment = | 7202.70 | ft-k | Mandale Area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Σ Total | Moment = | 11232.39 | ft-k | | the cases | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1c



Figure 5.3: Wind Pressures in the East/West Direction

Wind Load Diagrams



Seismic Analysis

Seismic loads were analyzed referencing Chapters 11 and 12 of ASCE 7-05. Upon investigation of the geotechnical report, the Fairfield Inn and Suites falls under the Site D classification. The variables needed to calculate base shear according to ASCE 7-05 are located in Table 2a.

| Soismic Dosign Variable | ASCE | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------|
| | 25 | | References |
| Site Class | | D | Table 20.3-1 |
| Occupancy Category | | Ш | Table 1-1 |
| Importance Factor | | 1.00 | Table 11.5-1 |
| Structural System | | Ordinary reinforced masonry shear walls | Table 12.2-1 |
| Spectral Response Acceleration, short | Ss | 0.125 | USGS |
| Spectral Response Acceleration, 1 s | S ₁ | 0.049 | USGS |
| Site Coefficient | Fa | 1.6 | Table 11.4-1 |
| Site Coefficient | Fv | 2.4 | Table 11.4-2 |
| MCE Spectral Response Acceleration, short | S _{ms} | 0.2 | Eq. 11.4-1 |
| MCE Spectral Response Acceleration, 1 s | S _{m1} | 0.1176 | Eq. 11.4-2 |
| Design Spectral Acceleration, short | S _{ds} | 0.133 | Eq. 11.4-3 |
| Design Spectral Acceleration,1 s | S_{d1} | 0.0784 | Eq. 11.4-4 |
| Seismic Design Category | S _{dc} | В | Table 11.6-2 |
| Response Modification Coefficient | R | 2.0 | Table 12.2-1 |
| Approximate Period Parameter | Ct | 0.02 | Table 12.8-2 |
| Building Height (above grade) | h _n | 112.66 | |
| Approximate Period Parameter | х | 0.75 | Table 12.8-2 |
| Calculated Period Upper Limit Coefficient | C _u | 1.70 | Table 12.8-1 |
| Approximate Fundamental Period | Ta | 0.692 | Eq. 12.8-7 |
| Fundamental Period | Т | 1.17 | § 12.8.2 |
| Long Period Transition Period | TL | 12 | Fig. 22-15 |
| Seismic Response Coefficient | Cs | 0.034 | Eq. 12.8-2 |
| Structural Period Exponent | k | 1.335 | § 12.8.3 |

Table 2a

The base shear calculated for seismic analysis includes the effective seismic building weight. An excel sheet was set up to determine the total weight that accumulated at each floor above grade. A summation of each floor resulted in the effective building weight which was used to determine the base shear and overturning moments due to seismic loads. Please refer to Appendix D for detailed calculations used to obtain building weight, as well as, base shear and overturning moments for each floor as seen in Table 2b. A seismic loading diagram is provided as reference to relate forces and shears that resulted as seen Figure 6.1.



Figure 6.1: Seismic Loading Diagram

| | Base Shear and Overturning Moment Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Story | h _x (ft) | Story Weight (k) | $w_x h_x^k$ | C _{vx} | Lateral Force F _x (k) | Story Shear V _x (k) | M _x (ft-k) | | | |
| PH Roof | 112.66 | 61.87 | 33932 | 0.012 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 525.16 | | | |
| Roof | 102.66 | 927.40 | 449249 | 0.164 | 64.58 | 69.46 | 6307.25 | | | |
| 10 | 92.66 | 1130.16 | 477463 | 0.174 | 68.64 | 138.10 | 6039.95 | | | |
| 9 | 83.33 | 1130.16 | 414389 | 0.151 | 59.57 | 197.67 | 4686.25 | | | |
| 8 | 74.0 | 1130.16 | 353641 | 0.129 | 50.84 | 248.51 | 3524.68 | | | |
| 7 | 64.66 | 1130.16 | 295350 | 0.108 | 42.46 | 290.97 | 2547.34 | | | |
| 6 | 55.33 | 1130.16 | 239878 | 0.088 | 34.48 | 325.46 | 1747.17 | | | |
| 5 | 46.0 | 1130.16 | 187465 | 0.068 | 26.95 | 352.41 | 1113.84 | | | |
| 4 | 36.66 | 1130.16 | 138463 | 0.051 | 19.91 | 372.31 | 636.87 | | | |
| 3 | 27.33 | 1130.16 | 93552 | 0.034 | 13.45 | 385.76 | 304.82 | | | |
| 2 | 18.0 | 1157.72 | 54877 | 0.020 | 7.89 | 393.65 | 71.00 | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 390.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 393.65 | 0.00 | | | |
| | | | 2738259 | | | | | | | |
| Total Building | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weig | ht = | 11578.23 | k | | | | | | | |
| Base Sl | Base Shear = | | k | | | | | | | |
| Total Mo | oment = | 27504.33 | ft-k | | | | | | | |

Table 2b

SPOT CHECKS

Spot checks were performed to confirm the engineer of record's design methods. The spot check locations can be viewed in Figure __. Only gravity loads were applied when doing this calculations, therefore at least some variation can be due to the fact that lateral loads will be present and require separate analysis. Please refer to Appendix E for detailed calculations of each of the spot check

descriptions.





Girder Spot Check Location

Figure 7.1: First Floor Plan Slab and Column Spot Check Locations



Figure 7.2: Second Floor Plan Transfer Beam Spot Check Location

A spot check of interior column A/2 was performed. Dead loads applied to the column were computed using the floor weights from the seismic calculations, taking into account the tributary area for the interior column (see Table 3a). A summary of accumulated load on the column at each floor is located in Appendix E. Live loads were applied in accordance with ASCE-07. A live load reduction was taken on the reducible live loads. It was assumed

that the effective length, KL, of the column was equivalent to the floor to floor height of the column. It must be noted however that these loads are only due to gravity loads. Axial forces were applied to each column and no moments or additional forces due to lateral loads were taken into account. This accounts for the large gap between the column capacity and the present loads, due to the absence of other loads likely applied.

| Column Loads | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Level Supported | Tributary Area (sf) | Dead Load (psf) | Live Load (psf) | Reduction LL | Dead Load (kips) | Live Load (kips) | Total Load (1.2DL+1.6L) kips | Accumulated Load (kips) | | |
| Roof | 339.13 | 123.57 | 75 | 49.28 | 41.9 | 16.7 | 77.02 | 77.02 | | |
| 10 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 157.91 | | |
| 9 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 238.80 | | |
| 8 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 319.68 | | |
| 7 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 400.57 | | |
| 6 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 481.46 | | |
| 5 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 562.34 | | |
| 4 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 643.23 | | |
| 3 | 339.13 | 150.58 | 55 | 36.14 | 51.1 | 12.3 | 80.89 | 724.12 | | |
| 2 | 339.13 | 154.26 | 55 | 36.14 | 52.3 | 12.3 | 82.38 | 806.50 | | |
| 1 | 339.13 | 51.96 | 100 | 100 | 17.6 | 33.9 | 149.33 | 955.83 | | |

| b | le | 3 |
|---|----|-----|
| | | - |
| | b | ble |

A spot check was performed on an interior transfer beam. The calculations show that the typical W33x118 beam can carry the bending moment created by placing the concrete during construction. Once the concrete is placed and the two materials are working together as a composite system, the moment capacity is increased and the system can then carry the factored moment resulting from applied dead and live loads.

Next, a spot check was performed on a girder to examine that the member can transfer the loads from the beams to the columns. The calculations confirmed that a W24x55, the member chosen, can carry the induced moment created by the beams loading the girder on both sides.

The final spot check was done on the slab system on the first level. The slab was analyzed to validate the current design based on determined loads. Using the Direct Design Method, reference in Chapter 13 ACI 318-08, the panel was checked for minimum slab thickness. The column and middle strips were then checked for flexure based on the dead and live loads applied to the building and the design reinforcement was sufficient for the amount of reinforcement required. The was also a check of punching shear at column A/3 revealing that no special shear reinforcement was required at column.

CONCLUSION

In analyzing the existing structural conditions of the Fairfield Inn and Suites, a better understanding of the design decisions and how the structure works as a whole was formed. After an examination and calculation of gravity and lateral loads, it is found that the Fairfield Inn and Suites is adequately designed to withstand the forces against the structure.

Calculations were done on various structural members to verify the structural design. A transfer beam and girder were analyzed for exposure to gravity loads. The spot checks concluded that the members were adequate to carry the design forces and it was found that the floor system meets serviceability criteria in accordance with the allowable live load deflection. The column spot check was performed using flexural buckling analysis and was adequately designed. These members were all satisfactorily designed, but seemed to be over designed. These members were all satisfactorily designed, but seemed to be lateral system of the building. Being a part of the lateral system, these members undergo more than just the gravity loads alone. Therefore, these members were over designed to carry the moments created by the lateral forces on the building.

The lateral forces against the building were found through wind and seismic analysis. It was found that the North/South wind direction loads controlled over the East/West direction, which make sense since that building façade is longer and should resist larger loads. Through comparing the base shear forces on the building between wind loads and seismic loads, it was found that the seismic loads control over the wind loads with a slightly larger base shear force without considering torsion effects. The lateral system is comprised of reinforced shear walls with loads carried through transfer beams then transferred into columns down into the foundation. This justifies why the over designed column, girder, and transfer beam, were chosen to account for the lateral forces. These forces will be taken into account in further research of the Fairfield Inn and Suites building structure.

Please refer to the following appendices for detailed calculations, diagrams, and tables referring to each analysis done on the structure.

APPENDIX A: BUILDING LAYOUT



Foundation Plan



Basement Plan

10



Second Floor Framing Plan



Third thru Tenth Floor Framing Plan



Roof/Penthouse Roof Plan

APPENDIX B: SNOW ANALYSIS





APPENDIX C: WIND ANALYSIS

| | 1/3 |
|---|---------|
| TECH REPORT 1 - CALCULATIONS A. SMITH | |
| WIND LOADS | |
| METHOD 2 - analytical procedure · Determine wind variables | |
| V = 90 Mph $K_d = 0.85$ T = 1.15 exposure = B V = 1.00 | |
| interpolate Kz: | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | |
| qz (Velocity pressure) = 0.00256 Kz Kz+ Kd V2 I | |
| $q_z = 0.00254 [K_z] (1.00)(0.85)(90^2)(1.15)$ | |
| example @ level 2: qz = 12.16 * COMPLETED INTABLE FO | IR ALL |
| $g_{\rm p}$ @ Mean root height = $102.66 + 112.66 = 107.66$ ft. = 1 | Kz=1.01 |
| $\bar{z} = 0.6h = 0.6(107.64) = 64.67 > Zmin$ | = 30' |
| $q_h = 0.00256(1.01)(0.85)(1.00)(90^2)(1.15) = 20.47$ $T = 0.033 \frac{1}{6} = 0.20(332) \frac{1}{6} = 0.2108$ | |
| $L\bar{z} = l(\bar{z}_{33})^{z} = 320(^{64.6})^{33} = 208.81$ | |

| | 2/3 |
|--|--|
| WIND LOADS (cont) $Q = \int \frac{1}{1+0.43 \left(\frac{B+N}{L_{z}}\right)^{0.43}}$ | h = 107.60 |
| North/South $B = 91' - 0''$ $Q = \int_{1+0.63}^{1} \left(\frac{91+107.66}{208.8}\right)^{0.63}$ Q = 0.788 $G = 0.925 \left(\frac{1+1.790 \text{ Tz}Q}{1+1.790 \text{ Tz}}\right)$ | East/West $B = 83'$ $Q = \frac{1}{1+0.63(\frac{83+107.66}{208.8})^{0.63}}$ Q = 0.792 g_{α} / g_{v} should be 3.4 |
| $G_{N/s} = 0.800$ Cp - pressure coefficient | $G \in W = 0.808$ |
| North/South Windward = 0.8 Leeward = -0.5 L/B = 0.91 L = 83' B = 91' | $\frac{East/West}{Windward = 0.8}$ $\frac{(q_2)}{1eeward = -0.3}$ $\frac{(q_h)}{V_B = 1.09}$ $C = 91' B = 83'$ |
| • Wind Pressure $P_z = q_z G C p - q_h G C p_i$ $P_h = q_h G C p - q_h G C p_i$ | (windward) (leeward) GCpi = +0.18 - 0.18 FOR ENCLOSED BUILDINGS |
| North/south example e level 2: pz Ph: | = $12.16 (0.806)(0.8) - 20.47(-0.18)$ $P_2 = 11.53 \text{ psf}$ = $20.47(0.806)(-0.5) - 20.47(0.18)$ $P_h = -11.93 \text{ psf}$ |



APPENDIX D: SEISMIC ANALYSIS

| Seisiiit | . FUICE RE | sisting by | Stelli: Floor | weight | .5 |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----|
| | | Floor 1 | | T | 1 |
| Approximat | e Area: | 7505.12 | sf | | |
| Floor to Floor Ht. | | 18.0 | ft | | |
| Walls: | | Superimposed: | | | |
| Perimeter: | 665.46 | ft. | Partitions: | 15 | psf |
| Height: | 9.33 | ft. | MEP: | 10 | psf |
| Unit Wt: | 20 | psf | Finished: | 5 | psf |
| Weight = | 124.17 | k | Weight = | 225.15 | k |
| | | Slab: | | | |
| | Do No | ot Include Sl | ab Weight | | |
| | | Columns | 5: | | |
| Shape | Quantity | Weight (Ib/ft) | Column Height (ft) | Total Weight (k) | |
| W12x120 | 1 | 120 | 18 | 2.16 | |
| W12x96 | 2 | 96 | 18 | 3.46 | |
| W10x100 | 2 | 100 | 18 | 3.60 | |
| W12x120 | 5 | 120 | 18 | 10.80 | |
| W12x106 | 2 | 106 | 18 | 3.82 | |
| W10x112 | 4 | 112 | 12 | 5.38 | |
| W10x68 | 2 | 68 | 18 | 2.45 | |
| | | | Weight = | 31.66 | k |
| - | | Beams: | | J | |
| Shape | Quantity | Weight (lb/ft) | Beam length (ft) | Total Weight (k) | |
| W 8x10 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0.03 | |
| W 8x10 | 2 | 10 | 6.65 | 0.13 | |
| W 8x18 | 2 | 18 | 17.5 | 0.63 | |
| W 14x22 | 1 | 22 | 10.43 | 0.23 | |
| W 16x26 | 2 | 26 | 22.11 | 1.15 | |
| W 16x26 | 1 | 26 | 8.33 | 0.22 | |
| W 18x35 | 1 | 35 | 25.58 | 0.90 | |
| W 18x40 | 1 | 40 | 12.5 | 0.50 | |
| W 18x40 | 2 | 40 | 25.58 | 2.05 | |
| W 18x40 | 3 | 40 | 8.5 | 1.02 | |
| W 24x55 | 1 | .55 | 24.58 | 1.35 | |
| W 24x55 | 1 | 55 | 14,71 | 0.81 | |
| | | | Weight = | 9.01 | k |
| | | | | | |
| т | otal Waight | of Floor - | 390 00 | k | |
| | | - or | 51.00 | nsf | _ |
| | | 01 | 51.50 | psi | |

| | | Floor 2 | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----|
| Approximate Area: | | 7505.12 | sf | | |
| Floor to Floor Ht. | | 9.33 | ft | | |
| Walls: | | Superimposed: | | | |
| Perimeter: | 816.64 | ft. | Partitions: | 15 | psf |
| Height: | 9.33 | ft. | MEP: | 10 | psf |
| Unit Wt: | 20 | psf | Finished: | 5 | psf |
| Weight = | 152.39 | k | Weight = | 225.15 | k |
| | | Slab: | | | |
| | Thickness: | 8 | in | | |
| Ur | it Weight: | 150 | pcf | | |
| | Weight = | 750.512 | k | | |
| | | Beams: | | | |
| Shape | Quantity | Weight (Ib/ft) | Beam length (ft) | Total Weight (k) | |
| W 8x10 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 0.15 | |
| W 8x10 | 1 | 10 | 4.77 | 0.05 | |
| W 8x18 | 4 | 18 | 17.5 | 1.26 | |
| W 8x18 | 1 | 18 | 12.88 | 0.23 | |
| W 24x55 | 1 | 55 | 8.5 | 0.47 | |
| W 30x90 | 1 | 90 | 20.56 | 1.85 | |
| W 33x118 | 1 | 118 | 10.42 | 1.23 | |
| W 33x118 | 1 | 118 | 13.42 | 1.58 | |
| W 33x118 | 1 | 118 | 12.33 | 1.45 | |
| W 33x130 | 1 | 130 | 10.42 | 1.35 | |
| W 33x130 | 1 | 130 | 13.42 | 1.74 | |
| W 33x130 | 1 | 130 | 12.33 | 1.60 | |
| W 33x141 | 1 | 141 | 14.71 | 2.07 | |
| W 33x141 | 1 | 141 | 12.5 | 1.76 | |
| W 40x149 | 1 | 149 | 12.5 | 1.86 | |
| W 40x149 | 1 | 149 | 14.71 | 2.19 | |
| W 40x199 | 2 | 199 | 22.11 | 8.80 | |
| | | | Weight = | 29.67 | k |
| | | | | | |
| Total Weight of Floor = | | | 1157.72 | k | |
| or | | | 154.26 | psf | |

| | | Floors 3 thr | u 10 | | |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----|
| Approximate Area: | | 7505.12 | sf | | |
| Floor to Floor Ht. | | 9.33 | ft | | |
| | Walls: | | Superimposed: | | |
| Perimeter: | 816.64 | ft. | Partitions: | 15 | psf |
| Height: | 9.33 | ft. | MEP: | 10 | psf |
| Unit Wt: | 20 | psf | Finished: | 5 | psf |
| Weight = | 152.39 | k | Weight = | 225.15 | k |
| | | Slab: | | | |
| | Thickness: | 8 | in | | |
| Unit Weight: | | 150 | pcf | | |
| Weight = 750.5 | | | k | | |
| | | Beams | | | |
| Shape | Quantity | Weight (Ib/ft) | Beam length (ft) | Total Weight (k) | |
| W 8x10 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 0.15 | |
| W 8x10 | 1 | 10 | 4.77 | 0.05 | |
| W8x18 | 4 | 18 | 17.5 | 1.26 | |
| W8x18 | 1 | 18 | 12.88 | 0.23 | |
| W8x18 | 4 | 18 | 5.77 | 0.42 | |
| | | | Weight = | 2.10 | k |
| | | | | | |
| Total Weight of Floor = | | | 1130.16 | k | |
| or | | | 150.58 | psf | |

| Roof | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|-----|--|--|
| Approximat | e Area: | 7505.12 | sf | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Walls: | | Super | imposed: | | | |
| Perimeter: | 125.54 | ft. | | | | | |
| Height: | 10 | ft. | MEP: | 10 | psf | | |
| | | | Roof | | | | |
| Unit Wt: | 20 | psf | Mat: | 10 | psf | | |
| Weight = | 25.11 | k | Weight = | 150.1 | k | | |
| | Slab: | | | | | | |
| | Thickness: | 8 | in | | | | |
| Un | it Weight: | 150 | pcf | | | | |
| Weight = 750.512 | | k | | | | | |
| | | Beams: | | | | | |
| | | Woight | Beam | Total | | | |
| Shape | Quantity | (lb/ft) | length | Weight | | | |
| | | | (ft) | (k) | | | |
| W 8x10 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0.03 | | | |
| W 8x10 | 1 | 10 | 4.77 | 0.05 | | | |
| W 8x18 | 1 | 18 | 12.88 | 0.23 | | | |
| W 8x18 | 4 | 18 | 5.77 | 0.42 | | | |
| W 8x28 | 4 | 28 | 8.5 | 0.95 | | | |
| | | | Weight = | 1.68 | k | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Weight of Floor = | | 927.40 | k | | | | |
| or | | | 123.57 | psf | | | |

| PH Roof | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| Approximate Area: | | 557.68 | sf | | |
| | | Superimpos | sed: | | |
| | Roof Mat: | 10 | psf | | |
| | Weight = | 5.58 | k | | |
| | | Slab: | | | |
| | Thickness: | 8 | in | | |
| | Unit Weight: | 150 | pcf | | |
| | Weight = | 55.768 | k | | |
| | | Beams: | | | |
| Shape | Quantity | Weight (Ib/ft) | Beam length (ft) | Total Weight (k) | |
| W 8x31 | 2 | 31 | 8.5 | 0.53 | |
| | | | Weight = | 0.53 | k |
| | | | | | |
| Total Weight of Floor = | | 61.87 | k | | |
| | | or | 110.94 | psf | |





APPENDIX E: SPOT CHECKS































